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## FOREWORD

India is an active participant in the International Indian Ocean Expedition. The National Programme which is co-ordinated by the Council of Scientific \& Industrial Research has been developed by the Indian National Committee on Oceanic Research. The Expedition is stimulating a considerable amount of work on marine sciences in this country. It is appropriate that the Indian National Committee is sponsoring publications of direct interest in the Expedition. The present volume by Shri L.R. Kasturirangan which is a field guide for the identification of planktonic copepods is one of several publications which the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research propose to publish within the coming few years.

Copepods which are very small crustaceans form the most important element in the zooplankton and consist of many hundreds of species. Their correct identification is by no means easy. The more critical work on the group is essertially a matter for the specialist but a great deal of observational work can be done by junior workers. Publications of this type will not only enlarge the scope of marine biological observations made at different places but also encourage closer studies of planktonic groups. The literaturc on this group is very scattered and attempts have, therefore, been made to bring the essential taxenomic material within the framework of this small publication so as to help preliminary analysis and sorting of plankton.

I commend this volume to the scientific public in the hope that it will encourage specialists in other groups also to come forward with such field guides and thus create greater interest in field biology.

New Delhi
24.8.1963
S. HUSAIN ZAHEER

Director-General C.S.I.R.

## INTRODUCTION

This key has been prepared for the use mainly of non-specialists in the group Copepoda, to aid them in the ready identification of the commoner species occurring in marine plankton. In framing the key an attempt has been made to avoid excessive technicality on the one hand and too great artificiality on the other. It will be seen that the species are treated in a linear order that is not very different from the taxonomic order. The morphological characters used for differentiation are, as far as possible, such as could be verified by non-specialists and offer less of difficulty in the actual working. The characters are those of the sexually mature individuals only, since the larval and copepodite stages are excluded from the purview of the key.

The "commonness" of a species has reference to the magnitude of the numerical, temporal and geographical distribution and all three aspects have been borne in mind in deciding which are the species to he included. Yet no sharp line can be drawn separating the common from the less common species, since the latter grade into the former. It is hoped that the ninetynine species listed below would be found to be a representative and useful selection. All these species have been examined, at one time or another, by the author in the course of his studies at Madras, Kozhikode, Cochin and Mandapam.

The form and details of the fifth pair of legs of copepods are very distinctive for each species and with differentiating characters for the males and the females. While this is broadly true of the several suborders, it is especially true in the case of suborder Calanoida, which comprises the bulk of the planktonic species. The general form of the body and of the fifth pair of legs is invariably sufficient to guide one to the correct determination and consequently the figures provided nearly always include them. The student would require some skill to manipulate
the copepod under the microscope so as to bring the fifth pair of legs into clear view and this could be acquired in course of time through practice. The correctness of the species determined, should be verified, as far as possible, by reference to the full morphological description of the species to be found in a systematic work. Some of the terms used in the key are explained below to avoid ambiguity and this section should be read through before the key is actually employed.

Body length. The length from the anterior margin of the head region to the posterior margin of the caudal rami excluding the caudal setae.

Body regions. The three terms defined below are useful to refer to three regions of the body of the copepod visibly distinguishable from one another. They do not correspond exactly to the morphological body-divisions, viz. head, thorax and abdomen. Authorities sometimes debate where to locate the boundary between one morphological region and another, or the number of segments included in one morphological region or the homology between regions called by the same name in different classes of crustacea. The use of the terms cephalosome, metasome and urosome is quite free of morphological implications and makes for precision in referring to the different body regions.

Cephalosome. The anterior unsegmented region of the body that includes not only the head but also, at the least, the segment of the maxillipeds. One or more of the segments corresponding to the anterior pairs of swimming legs may also be merged in the cephalosome. The appendages of the head-region are the first pair of antennae, the second pair of antennae, the mandibles, the first maxillae and the second maxillae. In some of the older works the second maxillae are referred to as the first pair of maxillipeds and the true maxillipeds are referred to as the second pair of maxillipeds. This practice is out of date.

Metasome. The segmented region of the body immediately posterior to the cephalosome and anterior to the urosome. It is separated from the latter by a distinct articulation which admits of free movements. The metasome includes a variable number of segments, never more than five, corresponding to the swimming legs. The reduction in the number of the obvious segments is due, either to the merging of the anterior segments in the cephalosome, or the posterior segments in the urosome, or to the coalescence of some of the segments with one another. The metasome includes the greater number, but not all, of the segments of the body corresponding to the thorax.

Urosome. The posteriormost region of the body of the copepod, usually narrower than the rest of the body and marked off from the metasome by a distinct articulation in virtue of which the urosome can be
freely moved about like a tail. The urosome includes, at the least, the genital segment (i.e. the last thoracic segment) and the post-genital segments numbering 1 to 5 (i.e. the abdominal segments). The last or anal segment bears the caudal rami. One or more of the pre-genital segments corresponding to the 5 th and 4th pairs of legs may also be incorporated in the urosome through the forward shifting of the point of articulation.

Caudal rami. A pair of laminar structures at the posterior end of the anal segment, movably articulated with the latter and each provided typically with six setae. The older name, caudal furca, is now-a-days discarded.

The eye. Paired compound eyes are absent in the copepoda except in the Arguloida. The eye when present is the median eye or nauplius eye, composed of three ocelli close to one another, two of them being dorso-lateral in position and one, median-ventral. The welldeveloped eyes of Pontella or Corycaeus are instances of high elaboration of the simple ocelli.

Geniculation. Modification of the first antennae for prehension or grasping, through the formation of an elbow or hinge.
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## SYSTEMATIC LIST OF SPECIES

## ORDER COPEPODA

Suborder CALANOIDAFamily CalanidaeCalanus tenuicornis DanaNannocalanus minor (Claus)Canthocalanus pauper (Giesbrecht)
Undinula vulgaris (Dana)
variety typica, v. giesbrechti, v. zeylanica. Sewell
Undinula darwini (Lubbock)variety symmetrica, v. intermedia, v. typica. Sewell
Family Eucalanidae
Rhincalanus cornutus Dana
Rhincalanus nasutus Giesbrecht
Eucalanus elongatus (Dana)
Eucalanus attenuatus (Dana)
Eucalanus pseudattenuatus Sewell
Eucalanus monachus Giesbrecht
Eucalanus crassus Giesbrecht
Eucalanus subcrassus Giesbrecht
Family Pseudocalanidae
Calocalanus pavo Dana
Family ParacalanidaeParacalanus parvus (Claus)
Paracalanus aculeatus Giesbrecht
Acrocalanus gibber Giesbrecht
Acrocalanus gracilis Giesbrecht
Acrocalanus longicomis Giesbrecht
Acrocalanus monachus Giesbrecht
Family EuchaetidaeEuchaeta concinna Dana
Euchaeta marina Prestandrea
Euchaeta wolfendeni A. Scott
Family Scolecithricidae
Scolecithrix danae (Lubbock)
Family Centropagidae
Centropages orsinii Giesbrecht
Centropages furcalus (Dana)
Centropages tenuiremis Thompson \& Scott
Centropages alcocki Sewell
Centropages trispinosus Sewell
Centropages calaninus (Dana)
Centropages gracilis (Dana)
Centropages elongatus Giesbrecht
Isias tropica Sewell
Family Pseudodiaptomidae
Pseudodiaptomus aurivilili Cleve
Pseudodiaptomus serricaudatus (T. Scott)
Pseudodiaptomus annandalei Sewell
Family Temoridae
Temora turbinata (Dana)
Temora stylifera (Dana)
Temora discaudata Giesbrecht
Family Lucicutiidae
Lucicutia flavicornis (Claus)
Family Arietellidae
Metacalanus aurivilli Cleve
Family CandaciidaeCandacia discaudata A. Scott
Candacia bradyi A. Scott
Candacia pachydactyla (Dana)
Candacia aethiopica (Dana)
Family PontellidaeCalanopia elliptica (Dana)
Calanopia aurivilli (Cleve)
Calanopia minor A. Scott
Labidocera acuta (Dana)
Labidocera pectinata Thompson \& Scott
Labidocera minuta Giesbrecht
Labidocera pavo GiesbrechtPontella danae Giesbrecht, variety ceylonica Thompson \&Scott
Pontella securifer Brady
Pontella spinipes Giesbrecht
Pontellopsis herdmani Thompson \& Scott
Pontellopsis macronyx A. Scott
Pontellopsis scotti Sewell
Pontellina plumata (Dana)
Family Acartiidac :Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht
Acartia erythraea Giesbrecht
Acartia centrura Giesbrecht
Acartia danae Giesbrecht
Acartia negligens Dana
Acartia southwelli Sewell
Acartia chilkaensis Sewell
Acartiella sewelli Steuer
Family Tortanidae
Tortanus barbatus (Brady)
Tortanus gracilis (Brady)
Suborder HARPACTICOIDA
Family Longipediidae
Longipedia weberi A. Scott
Longipedia coronata Claus
Family Ectinosomidae
Microsetella norvegica (Boeck) Microsetella rosea (Dana)
Family Macrosetellidae
Macrosetella gracilis (Dana)
Macrosetella oculata (G.O. Sars)
Miracia efferata Dana
Family ClytemnestridaeClytemnestra scutellata DanaClytemnestra rostrata (Brady)
Family Tachidiidae
Euterpina acutifrons (Dana)
Family MetidaeMetis jousseaumei (Richard)
Suborder CYCLOPOIDA
Family Oithonidae
Oithona spinirostris Claus ( $=0$. atlantica Farran)
Oithona plumifera Baird
Oithona similis Claus ( $=0$. helgoiandica Claus)
Oithona rigida Giesbrecht
Oithona brevicornis Giesbrecht
Oithona linearis Giesbrecht
Family OncaeidaeOncaea venusta PhilippiOncaea conifera Giesbrecht
Family Corycaeidae
Corycaeus speciosus DanaCorycaeus danae Giesbrecht ( $=$ C. crassiusculus Dana)
Corrycaeus catus F. Dahl
Corycella gibbula Giesbrecht
Copilia vitrea (Haeckel)
Copilia mirabilis Dana
Copilia quadrata Dana
Family SapphirinidaeSapphirina ovatolanceolata Dana
Sapphirina auronitens Claus
Sapphirina nigromaculata Dana
Family bomolochidaeBomolochus species

## KEY

[^0]5 Body usually cylindrical, the metasome passing into the urosome without abrupt change in width; basal segment of the fifth legs usually showing an inner expansion; males distinguished from the females in all cases by the geniculation of the first antennae; earsacs usually unpaired, carried underneath....HARPACTIGOIDA 119
Body usually depressed with the metasome much wider than the urosome; basal segment of the fifth legs without an inner expansion; geniculation of the first antennae of the male is usual but not invariable; eggsacs paired, carried laterally or subdorsally CYCLOPOIDA 129
6 Swimming legs 1 to 4 with 3 -segmented excpodites and endopodites... 7
Swimming legs 2, 3 and 4 with 3 -segmented exopodites and endopo- dites, but swimming leg 1 with less than 3 segments in the endo- podites or in both rami ..... 17
Swimming legs 3 and 4 with 3 -segmented exopodites and endopodites but swimming legs 1 and 2 with less than 3 segments in some of the rami ..... 39
Swimming legs 1 to 4 with 3 -segmented exopodites but most or all of the endopodites are 2 -segmented through reduction or coalescence of the segments. ..... 45
Swimming legs 1 to 4 with 2 -segmented exopodites and endopoditesexcept for the exopodite of the lst leg which is 3 -segmented; the2 -segmented condition of the exopodites is due to the partial orcomplete disappearance of the articulation between the basal andthe middle segments................................................... 66
7 Fifth legs with 3-segmented rami in both sexes and substantially similar to the swimming legs. ..... 8
Fifth legs substantially similar to the swimming legs in the femalesonly, i.e. 3-segmented and fully setose; the male fifth legs are alwaysconsiderably different from the swimming legs.................... 13
Fifth legs of both sexes markedly different from the swimming legs. ..... 58
3 Basipod of lst legs without a hook and seta arrangement on the anterior face ; inner margin of basipod 1 of 5 th legs in both sexes denticulate or serrate in most species (though not in C. tenuicornis) 9
Basipod of 1st legs with a hook and seta arrangement on the anteriorface ; inner margin of basipod 1 of 5th legs smooth in both sexes.
.CANTHOCALANUS 12
9 Females only: Urosome 4-segmented; 5th legs symmetrical, fully setose ..... 10

Males only: Urosome 5-segmented ; 5th legs unlike on the two sides, the left leg being usually longer through the greater elongation of the two proximal exopodite segments, the terminal segment being rather short 11

10 First antennae extend beyond caudal rami by about half body length ; length 1.9 to 2.5 mm . :
\& Calanus tenuicornis Dana (Fig. la, b)
First antennae reach caudal rami; length 1.9 to 2.0 mm .: \& Nannocalanus minor (Claus) (Fig. 2a, b)

11 Fifth legs as figured, with exopodites devoid of plumose setae on bot ${ }_{1}$. the right and the left leg; left leg not longer than the right leg; length 1.9 mm .:

## $\delta$ Calanus tenuicornis Dana (Fig. 1c, d)

Fifth legs as figured, with a few plumose setae present on the right exopodite; left leg distinctly longer than the right leg; external


Fig. 1. Calanus tenuicornis Dana: (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th leg of one side. (c) Male, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face. Setae absent on the exopodites; setae present on the endopodites but not shown in the figure. (After Giesbrecht).

## b



Fig. 2. Jannocalanus minor (Claus). (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, lateral view. (c) Male, lateral view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (a. after Wilson; b.c.d. after Sewell.)

marginal spines greatly enlarged on the left exopodite; length 1.70 mm .
© Nannocalanus minor (Claus) (Fig. 2c, d)
12 Urosome 4-Segmented; exopodites of 5th legs with plumose setae; length 1.7 mm :
\& Canthocalanus pauper (Giesbrecht) (Fig. 3a, b, c)
Urosome 5 -segmented; exopodites of 5 th legs without plumose setae ; the left exopod often flexed outwards to assume a hammer-like form ; left endopod with 2 terminal setae only; length 1.4 mm . :
$\delta$ Canthocalanus pauper (Giesbrecht) (Fig. 3d, e, f)
13 Fifth legs of the female without an inwardly pointed spine on the exopods; the left fifth leg of the male is very greatly lengthened and modified to form a grasping organ; lst antenna not geniculate on either side in the male........................UNDINULA 14
Fifth legs of the female with a prominent inwardly pointed spine on the middle exopod segment ; in the male, the left exopod is 2 -segmented; the right exopod is 3 -segmented and forms a strong chela; 1st antenna geniculate on the right side in the male

CENTROPAGES (part) 48
14 Outer margin of second exopod segment of second legs deeply notched in both sexes; usually over 2 mm . in length......................... 15
Outer margin of second exopod segment of second legs without notch ; length below 2 mm . .16


Fig. 4. Undinula vulgaris (Dana). Female: (a) Dorsal view. (b) Lateral view from the left, variety typica. (c) Lateral view from the left variety giesbrechti. (d) 2nd leg, showing deep notch on 2nd exopod segment. (e) Right 5th leg, anterior face. (a. original b.c.d. after Sewell; e. after Colefax.

15 Posterior margin of metasome drawn out into spines ; urosome 4segmented ; fth legs similar to the 4 th legs ; length 1.8 to 2.6 mm . : \& Undinula vulgaris (Dana) (Fig. 4)
Posterior margin of metasome rounded; Urosome 5-segmented; 5th legs highly modified as figured, folded like a Z when not extended; metasome more slender than in the female ; length 2.0 to 2.3 mm .:今 Undinula vulgaris (Dana) (Fig. 5a,b)

Note : The female $U$. vulgaris is distinguished into three varieties as follows by Sewell (1929) :
Variety typical: metasome ending in a single downward bent spine on both right and left sides (Fig. 4b)
Variety giesbrechti: metasome ending in a single downward bent spine on the right side but a double spine present on the left side, of which the upper spine points straight backwards and the lower one is downwardly curved (Fig. 4c)
Variety zeylanica: metasome drawn out on the right hand side into a single spine which is thickened and points straight backwards, but a double spine on the left, similar to the one in v. giesbrechti.


Fig. 5. Undinula vulgaris (Dana) $\hat{*}(a)$ Dorsal view. (b) Left Fth leg. Undinula darwini (Lubbock) (c) Left Fth leg. (b. \& c. after Wolfenden, a. original).

16 Urosome 4 -segmented ; 5th legs similar to the 4th legs; length 1.66 - to 2.3 mm . \& Undinula darwini (Lubbock) (Fig. 6)
Urosome 5 -segmented; 5th legs as figured ; length 1.8 to 2.0 mm .:今 Undinula darwini (Lubbock) (Fig. 5c)

Note: The female $U$. dareini also is distinguished into three varieties (Sewell 1929) :

Variety symmetrica: Posterior margin of the metasome alike on the two sides, the postero-inferior region produced into a very small prominence with an equally small notch in front of it. (Fig. 6a)
Variety intermedia: Posterior margin of the metasome unlike on the two sides, on the right as in V. symmetrica, on the left produced into a larger triangular flap. (Fig. 6b)
Variety typica: Posterior margin of the metasome unlike on the two sides, on the right as in $V$. symmetrica, on the left produced into a rectangular lappet that is larger than in $V$. intermedia. (Fig. 6c)

17 First legs with 2-jointed exopods and 2-jointed endopods; 5th legs of the female are uniramose and 3 -segmented, of the male uniramose on the right and biramose on the left; head produced forward into a conical process

RHINCALANUS 18
First legs with 3 -jointed exopods and 2 -jointed endopods; 5th legs uniramose in both sexes, occasionally absent in the female...... 19

18 Anterior projection of head distinctly anchor-shaped and the rostral filaments visible in dorsal view; the sexes distinguished by the form of the 5th legs; length of female 3.6 mm ., length of male 2.68 mm . of $\hat{\text { R Rhincalamus cornutus Dana (Fig. 7) }}$
Anterior projection of head bluntly conical with the rostral filaments below it and not visible in dorsal view; the sexes distinguished by the


$a$


Fig. 6. Undinula darwini (Lubbock) Female: (a) Variety typica, view from the right side. (Variety symmetrica has this shape on both sides). (b) Variety intermedia, view from the left side. (c) Variety typica, view from the left side. (d) Variety typica, dorsal view of posterior margin of metasome. (a. b. c. after Sewell; d. after Colefax).
form of the 5 th legs; length of female 3.9 to 5.1 mm ., length of male 3.5 to 3.8 mm .
\& $\delta$ Rhincalanus nasutus Giesbrecht (Fig. 8)
19 Outer margin of 2nd, 3rd and 4th exopodites not toothed. ....... 20
Outer margin of 2 nd, 3 rd and 4 th exopodites toothed............. 32
20 Head triangular; caudal rami fused to the anal segment particularly in the females; body more than 4 times as long as the greatest breadth

EUCALANUS 21
Head not triangular; caudal rami not fused to the anal segment; body usually much less than 4 times as long as the greatest breadth CALOCALANUS 31

21 Females only : urosome 3-or 4-segmented ; caudal rami fused to the anal segment; 5th legs absent. 22

Males only : urosome 5-segmented; caudal rami indistinctly separated from the anal segment, 5 th legs present, asymmetrical27

$C$
Fig. 7. Rhinacalanus cornutus Dana. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th leg of one side. (c) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (after Wilson).

22 Urosome 4 -segmented; an enlarged caudal seta present on the right side, posterior margin of metasome rounded in the Indo-Pacific forms but two blunt teeth present in the Atlantic form ; length 4.4 to 8.25 mm .
\& Eucalanus clongatus (Dana) (Fig. 93,b)
Urosome 3-segmented; an enlarged caudal seta present on the left side; posterior margin of metasome rounded.................. 23
 23 Genital segment longer than broad; head indented on either side on the frontal margin. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 24 Genital segment- broader than long; head not indented on either side25

e

Fig. 8. Rhincalanus nasutus Giesbrecht. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, head-end, ventral view. (c) Female, urosome, dorsal view: (d) Female, 5th pair of legs. (e) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (after Sars).

24 Head end bluntly pointed; no trace of junction of lst metasome segment with cephalon; 4th and 5th metasome segments clearly separated; length greater, 4.2 to 5.9 mm .:
\& Eucalanus aitenuatus (Dana) (Fig. 10a, b,c)
Head end acutely pointed; junction of 1st metasome segment with cephalon is faintly discernible; 4th and 5th metasome segments are fused at lateral margins only, the line of separation being discernible in the middle iine; length lesser, 3.3 to 4.25 mm .:
\& Eucalanus pseudattenuatus Sewell (Fig. 10e)
Note: These two species are closely allied. They were observed in plankton from about 18 km . off Kozhikode and are easily recognized by their peculiar posture. The lst pair of antennae are held pointing forward rigidly at an angle to look like a Y. They seem to be sluggish in their movements.

25 Forehead elongated in dorsal view; outer margin of middle endopod segment of legs 1 to 4 bearing a smail tooth in both sexes; genital


Fig. 9. Eucalanus elongatus (Dana). (a) Female. dorsal view of Atlantic Ocean form. (b) Female, rostral filaments, ventral view. (c) Male, metasome and urosome, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs; posterior face, (after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 10. Eucalanus attenuatus (Dana). (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, rostrum, ventral view. (c) Female, urosome, ventral view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. Eucalanus pseudattenuatus Sewell. (e) Female, dorsal view. (a. \& e. after Sewell; b, c, d. after Giesbrecht).
segment only a little broader than long; length 2.50 mm .: \& Eucalanus monachus Giesbrecht (Fig. 11a)
Forehead rounded in dorsal view; outer margin of middle endopod segment of legs 1 to 4 smooth and without a tooth................. 26

26 Genital segment much broader than long, onion shaped; size of the copepod 4.4 to 4.6 mm .; body tumid, swollen.

ㅇ Eucalanus crassus Giesbrecht (Fig. 12a,b,c)
Genital segment a little broader than long as figured; size of the copepod 1.9 to 2.1 mm .; body not so tumid as in $E$. crassus.
\& Eucalanus subcrassus Giesbrecht (Fig. 12d,e)
Note: Eucalanus subcrassus is met with in greater numbers than any other species of this genus in Indian coastal waters.

27 Fifth legs present on both sides.................................... . . 28
Fifth legs present on the left only; right 5th leg absent.29

28 Right 5th leg more than half as long as left 5th leg; length 3.9 to 4.8 mm :太 Eucalanus elongatus (Dana) (Fig. 9c,d)

Right 5th leg less than half as long as left 5th leg; head not as pointed as in the female $E$. attenuatus; length 3.1 to 3.25 mm . :
§ Eucalanus attenuatus (Dana) (Fig. 10d)
Note: The male of $E$. pseudattenuatus is distinguishable from the allied species chiefly by its slightly smaller size. In either species, the males could be assigned to the species of the females along with which they occurred in the tow net collection.

29 A small tooth present on the outer margin of the middle endopod segment of swimming legs 2,3 and 4 ; length 2.00 mm .:
$\delta$ Eucalanus monachus Giesbrecht (Fig. 11b,c)
Outer margin of the middle endopod segment of swimming legs 2, 3 and 4 smooth and without tooth like projection . 30

30 Fourth metasome segment bears two tactile setae on each side as figured; length 2.6 mm .
§ Eucalanus crassus Giesbrecht (Fig. 11d,e)


Fig. 11. Eucalanus monachus Giesbrecht. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Male, 4th leg, endopodite showing tooth on middle segment. (c) Male, 5th leg, posterior face. Eucalanus crassus Giesbrecht Male (d) 5th leg, posterior face. (e) Dorsal view. (after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 12. Eucalanus crassus Giesbrecht. \& (a) Dorsal view. (b) Rostrum, ventral view. (c) Urosome, ventral view. Eucalanus subcrassus Giesbrecht. \& (d) Dorsal view. (e) Urosome, ventral view. (a. after Colefax; b., c. after Giesbrecht; d, e. after Sewell).

Fourth metasome segment without conspicuous laterally placed setae; length 1.7 to 1.8 mm § Eucalanus subcrassus Giesbrecht
31 Urosome 2 -segmented; caudal rami turned outwards at right angles to the body axis, each ramus bearing four equal plumose setae; 5th legs 4 -segmented, symmetrical; length 0.88 to 1.2 mm .
\& Calocalanus pavo (Dana) (Fig. $13 \& 14$ )
Urosome 5-segmented; caudal rami parallel to the body axis and not provided with large plumose setae; 5th legs asymmetrical, right leg, 4-segmented, left leg 5 -segmented and much longer than the right; length 1.04 mm .:
$\delta$ Calocalanus pavo (Dana) (Fig. 14b \& c)
32 Terminal segment of the exopodites of legs 2,3 and 4 is separated into a proximal and a distal portion by the outer marginal spine such that the proximal portion is at least twice as long as the distal portion; 2nd antenna of the female with the exopodite ( 7 -segmented)


Fig. 13. Calocalanus pavo (Dana) \& Dorsal view (after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 14. Calocalanus pavo (Dana) (a) Female, 5th pair of legs. (b) Male, dorsal view. (c) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face (after Giesbrecht).
as long as the endopodite (2-segmented) ; Fth legs present in the

Terminal segment of the exopodites of legs 2, 3 and 4 is separated into a proximal and a distal portion by the outer marginal spine such that the proximal portion is less than twice as long as the distal portion; 2nd antenna of the female with the exopodite (7segmented) shorter than the endopodite (2-segmented); 5th legs
absent in the female..........................ACROCALANUS 36
33 First antennae not generally reaching beyond the caudal rami, surface of basipod 1 of legs 1 to 4 beset by hairs and bristles .34
First antennae reaching beyond the caudal rami; surface of basipod 1 of legs 1 to 4 naked (except for one plumose seta) though hairs and bristles occur on the segments of the exopod and endopod.... 35
34 Urosome 4 -segmented; 5th legs symmetrical, 2 -segmented, genital opening oval, broader than long; length 0.8 to 1.00 mm :
\& Paracalanus parous (Claus) (Fig. $15 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$,)
Urosome 5 -segmented; 5th legs asymmetrical, 2 -segmented on the right and 5 -segmented on the left, left foot much longer; bubblelike eminence on cephalosome indistinct or absent in profile view; length 0.9 to 1.00 mm .
$\delta$ Paracalanus parvis (Claus) (Fig. 15 e,f,g,)

a


Fig. 15. Paracalanus parvis (Claus) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, genital segment, ventral view. (c) Female, 5th pair of legs. (d) Female, 4th leg, to show hairs and spines on the 1st basipodite segment. (e) Male, dorsal view. ( $f, g$ ) Male, fth pair of legs, two views. (a; c, e, f. after G.O. Sars; b, d, g. after Sewell).


Fig. 16. Paracalanus aculeatus Giesbrecht. (a) Female, 4th leg, to show absence of hairs and spines on the 1st basipodite segment. (b) Female, genital segment, ventral view. (c) Female, 5th leg. (d) Male, lateral view. (e) Male, 5th pair of legs. (a, b, c. after Sewell; d, e. after Colefax).


Fig. 17. Acrocalamus $\%$ (a) A. gibber Giesbrecht, lateral view. (b) A. gibber Giesbrecht, 4th leg. (c) A. gracilis Giesbrecht, lateral view. (d) A. longicornis Giesbrecht, lateral view. (e) A. longicornis Giesbrecht, 4th leg (f)A. monachus Giesbrecht, lateral view. (a, c, d, f, after Wolfenden; b, e, after Sewell).

35 Urosome 4 -segmented; 5th legs symmetrical, 2 -segmented, genital opening circular; length greater than in $P$. parvus, 1.25 mm . \& Paracalanus aculeatus Giesbrecht (Fig. $16 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$,)
Urosome 5 -segmented; 5th legs asymmetrical, short and 3 -segmented - on the right side, long and 5 -segmented on the left side; bubble-like eminence on cephalosome quite distinct in profile view; length 1.2 mm :
§ Paracalanus aculeatus Giesbrecht (Fig. $16 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}$,)
Note- The two species of Paracalanus share with species of Acartia and Oithona the distinction of being the "commonest" copepods of inshore waters of the Indian coast. Though small in size, they occur in almost all months of the year and in quite considerable numbers.

36 Females only: urosome 4-segmented; 5th legs absent .............. 37
Males only: urosome 5 -segmented; 5th leg present on the left side only, 4-segmented; the male Acrocalanus can be assigned to its correct species only with considerable difficulty, owing to the inadequate descriptions available $\hat{\delta}$ Acrocalanus species

37 First antenna does not reach beyond caudal rami; cephalosome in lateral view, with a humped outline; body compact, length 0.93 to 1.00 mm .
\& Acrocalanus gibber Giesbrecht (Fig. 17a, b)
First antenna reaches beyond caudal rami; cephalosome not humped in lateral view ; body more elongated than in A. gibber . . . . . . . . . 38

38 Lateral view of the body as figured, cephalosome very evenly rounded; armature of the distal portion of the terminal exopodite segment of the 4 th legs consists of teeth which are not smaller or different in size from the teeth on the proximal portion, being like A. gibber in this respect; length 1.30 to 1.40 mm .
$\&$ Acrocalanus gracilis Giesbrecht (Fig. 17 c).
Lateral view of the body as figured, cephalosome less evenly rounded than in $A$. gracilis; armature of the distal portion of the terminal exopodite segment of the 4th legs consists of a few fine teeth whereas the teeth on the proximal portion are comparatively coarser and more numerous; length 1.14 to 1.20 mm :
\& Acrocalanus longicornis Giesbrecht (Fig. $17 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}$ )
Lateral view of the body as figured, outline almost quadrate; body short and compact; terminal exopodite segment of the 4th legs as in A. gibber and A. gracilis.
$\$$ Acrocalanus monachus Giesbrecht (Fig. 17 f)


Fig. 18. Euchaeta concinna Dana. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, urosome, dorsal view. (c) Female, genital segment, view from the right. (d) Female, genital segment, ventral view. (e) Female, genital segment, view from the left. ( $f$ ) Female, 2nd leg, exopodite. ( $g$ ) Male, right 5th leg. ( $h$ ) Male, left 5 th leg. ( $j$ ) Male, left 5 th leg: terminal part, enlarged view. ( $k$ ) Male, left 5th leg, terminal part another view, more enlarged. ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{j}$. after Colefax: b, c, d, e, f. after Wolfenden; k. after A. Scott.)


Fig. 19. Euchaeta marina Prestandrea $\&(a)$ Genital segment, view from the left. . (b) Genital segment, view from ventral aspect. (c) Genital segment, view from the right. (d) 2 nd leg, exopodite. (after Wolfenden).


Fig. 20. Euchaeta marina Prestandrea o (a) View from the right. 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (c) Left 5th leg, terminal part, enlarged. (d) Left 5th leg, terminal part, -another view, cnlarged. (a. after Giesbrecht; b, c. after Wolfenden; d. after A. Scott).

39 Swimming legs 1 and 2 with 2 - and 3 -segmented exopodites respectively and 1 -segmented endopodites; posterior margin of metasome rounded, covered ventrally with tufts of hairs; lst antennae moderately long with numerous stiff setae; head-end pointed, with a frontal projection dorsal to the rostral projection, the two together constituting a characteristic profile view........EUCHAETA 40

Swimming legs 1 and 2 with 3 -segmented exopodites and 1 and 2 segmented endopodites respectively; posterior margin of metasome rounded, without tufts of hairs; ist antennae not extending beyond the metasome. ................................. SCOLECITHRIX 44

40 Females only: urosome 4 -segmented, anal segment very short; 5th legs absent. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41

Males only: urosome 5 -segmented, anal segment very short; body more slender than in the female; 5 th legs large, conspicuous, biramose on the right side and uniramose on the left, the left foot terminating in a complicated "hand", which transfers.the spermatophore


Fig. 21. Euchaeta wolfendeni Genital segment, ventral view. (c) Genital segment, view from the right. (d) Genital segment, view from the left. (e) 2nd leg, exopodite. ( $f$ ) Male, left 5th leg, terminal part, enlarged view. (a. to e. after Wolfenden; f. after A. Scott)


Fig. 22. Scolecithrix danae (Lubbock) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, urosome, lateral view to show shovel-like ventral projection on genital segment. (c) Male, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (a. after Wilson; b. after Giesbrecht, c, d. after Colefax).


Fig. 23. Centropages orsinii Giesbrecht (a) Female, lateral view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (c) Male, Iateral view. (d) Male, 5 th pair of legs, posterior face. (after Wolfenden).

41 Genital segment provided on the right side with a blunt peg-like projection which is curved towards tail end; in the 2nd le: the terminal exopod segment bears three external marginal spines, all three being quite short and of equal length; in the same leg, the middle exopod segment bears a single external marginal spine which is so long as to reach beyond the base of the spine above it; Length 3.75 mm .
\& Euchaeta concinna Dana (Fig. 18a to f)

- Genital segment without peg-like projection; in the 2nd leg the terminal exopod segment bears three external marginal spines of which spine No. 2 is always longer than spines 1 and 3 ; in the same leg, the middle exopod segment bears a single external marginal spine which does not reach beyond the base of the spine above it.... 42
42 Posterior margin of metasome more produced on the right side than on the left; genital segment with irregular outline on the right side; in the terminal exopod segment of the 2 nd leg external marginal spine No. 2 is very long and reaches as far as spine No. 3; length 2.25 to 3.9 mm .
\& Euchaeta marina Prestandrea (Fig. 19)
Posterior margin of metasome of the two sides appears symmetrical in dorsal view; genital segment with a small round button-like protuberance at the posterior margin on the right side; in the terminal exopod segment of the 2 nd leg, external marginal spine No. 2 is less than half as long as necessary to reach spine No. 3; length 3.30 mm .
\& Euchaeta wolfendeni A. Scott (Fig. 2la to e)
43 Toothed process at the end of the left 5th leg is armed with ine teeth as figured; length 2.6 mm .
of Euchaeta concinna Dana (Fig. 18 g to k )
Toothed process at the end of the left 5th leg is somewhat broader and set with coarse teeth as figured; length 2.8 to 3.2 mm .
$\delta$ Euchaeta marina Prestandrea (Fig. 20)
Toothed process at the end of the left 5th leg is armed with fine teeth and the apex is notched as figured; length 2.7 mm .
$\delta$ Euchaeta wolfendeni A. Scott (Fig. 21f)
44 Fifth legs lacking; genital segment with a shovel-like ventral projection; length 2.2 mm .
\& Scolecithrix danae (Lubbock) (Fig. 22a, b)
Fifth legs biramose on the left with 3 -jointed exopod and one jointed endopod borne at the tip of the much elongated second basal segment; uniramose on the right as figured; length 2.0 to 2.15 mm . of Scolecithrix danae (Lubbock) (Fig. 22c, d)

c
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Fig. 24. Centropages furcatus (Dana) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, proximal five segments of 1st antenna. (c) Female, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (d) Male, urosome and part of metasome, dorsal view. (e) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (a, d. after Giesbrecht, c. after Colfax; b, e. original).


Fig. 25. Centropages tenuiremis Thompson \& Scott (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (c) Male, urosome, dorsal view: (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (a, c. after Thompson \& Scott; b, d. Original).
45 Endopodites of legs 1 to 4 are 2 -segmented in both sexes ..... 46
Endopodites of legs 2 to 4 are 2 -segmented but endopodite of leg 1 is3-segmented94
Endopodites of legs 2 to 4 are 2 -segmented but endopodite of leg 1 is either 2 - or 3 -segmented, the latter condition being exceptional andpeculiar to the males of some oî the species117
46 The endopodites of the 5 th legs are 3 -segmented and fully furnishedwith plumose setae; the 2 -segmented appearance of the endopodsof legs 1 to 4 is secondary owing to the fusion of the proximalsegment with the middle segment, partially in leg 4 and morecompletely in legs 3,2 and $1 \ldots \ldots .$. . CENTROPAGES (part) 47
The endopodites of the 5th legs are either rudimentary or absent, the2 -segmented condition of the endopodites of legs 1 to 4 gives noindication of secondary derivation................................. 74
47 Urosome 3-segmented; 5th legs as figured; length 1.7 mm . \& Centropages orsinii Giesbrecht (Fig. 23a, b)
Urosome 4-segmented; 5th legs as figured; length 1.3 to 1.5 mm . $\delta$ Centropages orsinii Giesbrecht (Fig. 23c, d)
48 Posterior corners of metasome drawn out into strong spiniform projections ..... 49
Posterior corners of metasome without strong spiniform projections. ..... 51
49 A prominent backwardly curved spine is present in the mid-dorsal line near the posterior margin of the cephalosome; 5th legs as figured; length of female 1.3 to 1.4 mm ., of male 1.2 to 1.3 mm . \& $\delta$ Centropages dorsispinatus Thompson \& Scott (Fig. 26)
No spine is present in the mid-dorsa! line ..... 50
50 Posterior margin of metasome provided with two smaller, more dorsallyplaced spines in addition to the two large ones; a tooth presenton the anterior margin of segments 1,2 and 5 of 1st antennae; eye,red in colour, in continual movement in the living condition: 5thlegs as figured; length of female 1.9 mm ., of male 1.5 to 1.7 mm .§ $\delta$ Centropages furcatus (Dana) (Fig. 24;
Posterior margin of metasome without additional spines; no teeth present on the anterior margin of 1st antennae; eye not in movement; 5th legs as figured; length of female 2.0 mm ., of male 1.8 mm . \& \& Centropages tenuiremis Thompson \& Scett (Fig. 25)
51 Anterior part of body. comparatively broad and compact, as in
C. dorsispinaius ..... 52
Anterior part of body comparatively long and slender, as inC. furcatus53
52. Posterior margin of metasome with three small tooth-like projections visible in lateral view only; 5 th legs in female of normal type, in male as figured; length of female 1.00 to 1.20 mm .; of male 1.0 mm . \& $\delta$ Centropages trispinusus Sewell (Fig. 27d, e, f)
Posterior margin of metasonie with only one small tooth, visible in lateral view only; fth legs as figured; length of female 1.1 to 1.4 mm ., of male 1.0 to 1.2 mm . of $\delta$ Centropages alcocki Newell (Fig. 27a, be)
53 Females only; urosome 3-segmented; 1st antennae alike on the two sides.
Males only: urosome 4 -segmented; list antennae geniculate on the right

$c$
56

$e$


Fig. 26. Centropages dorsispinatus Thompson \& Scott (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, lIst antenna, proximal section to show teeth present on antennal segments 2, 5, 10 and 11. (c) Female, cephalosome, lateral view. (d) Female, 5th leg of one side. (e) Male, urosome. (f) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (after Thompson \& Scott).

54 Caudal rami asymmetrical; anal segment, in ventral view, appears twice as long as the preceding segment (because of the slant in the articulation between these two segments); length 1.9 mm .
$\&$ Centropages calanimus (Dana) (Fig. 28a, b, c, d)
Caudal rami symmetrical; anal segment not conspicuously longer than the other urosome segments

55 Second urosome segment with two small peg-like projections laterally, covered with fine short spines; 1st antennae extend beyond caudal rami by about five segments; the inwardly pointed spine of the middle exopod segment of the 5 th leg is distinctly shorter than the terminal exopod segment, as figured; length 2.0 mm .
\& Centropages gracilis (Dana) (Fig. 28g, h, j)
Second urosome segment without knobs or spines; 1st antennae extend beyond the caudal rami by one or two segments only as in C. calaninus; the inwardly pointed spine of the middle exopod segment of the 5 th legs is a little longer than the terminal exopod segment (but not so long as in C. calaninus) as figured; length 1.54 mm .
\& Centropages elongatus Giesbrecht (Fig. 28e, f)


Fig. 27. Centropages alcocki Sewell of (a) Lateral view. (b) Right 5th leg, anterior face. (c) Left 5th leg, anterior face. Centropages trispinosus Sewell $\delta$ (d) Lateral view. (e) Right 5th leg, posterior face. ( $f$ ) Left 5th leg, posterior face. (a; b, c. after Sewell; d, e, f. after Krishnaswamy).


Fig. 28. Centropages calaninus (Dana) of (a) Dorsal view. (b) Urosome, ventral view. (c) Urosome; lateral view. (d) 5th leg of one side. Centropages elongatus Giesbrecht. $\delta$ (e) Urosome, ventral view. ( $f$ ) 5th leg of one side. Centropages gracilis (Dana) o (g) Dorsal view. ( $h$ ) Vrosome, ventral view. ( $j$ ) 5th leg of one side. ( $a, d, g, j$, after Colefax; $b, c, e$, $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h}$. after Wolfenden).


Fig. 29. Centropages calaninus (Dana) $\delta$ (a) Chela of right 5th leg, anterior face. (b) Exopodite of left 5th leg; anterior face. Centropages gracilis (Dana) 今 (c) Chela of right 5th leg, posterior face. (d) Exopodite of left 5 th leg, posterior face. Centropages elongatus Giesbrecht. (e) 5th pair of legs, posterior face. $(f)$ Exopodite of left 5th leg, view from outer side. (a, b, c, d. after Giesbrecht: e, f. after Wolfenden).

56 . Right 5th leg of the male with the claw-like terminal segment of the exopod comparatively long and bent into a $V$
Right 5th leg of the male with the claw-like terminal segment of the exopod comparatively short and not bent, as depicted in figure; body length 1.50 mm .
$\widehat{\delta}$ Centropages elongatus Giesbrecht (Fig. 29e, f)
57 Thumb of chela is curved and shorter than the middle exopod segment from which it springs; distal arm of the V-shaped terminal segment is straight; body length 1.8 mm .
\$ Centropages calaninus (Dana) (Fig. 29a, b)
Thumb of chela is straight and longer than the middle exopod segment from which it springs; distal arm of the V-shaped terminal segment is curved outwards, proximal arm broadened inwards triangularly; body length 1.70 mm .
§ Centropages gracilis (Dana) (Fig. 29c, d)
Note: These three species of Centrapages were observed during 1949-53 at Kozhikode in plankton collected from approximately 18 km . off the coast.

58 Fifth legs clearly biramose in both sexes.
Fifth legs uniramose in the female and usually in the male too, with only indistinct indications of the endopod in the male, if at all PSEUDODIAPTOMUS 61

d



Fig. 30. Isias tropica Sewell. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, genital segment, view from the left (c) Female, genital segment, ventral view. (d) Female, th leg of one side. (e) Male, urosome, dorsal view. ( $f$ ) Male, 5 th pair of legs, anterior face. (after Sewell).


Fig. 31. Pseudodiaptomus aurivilli Cleve. $\&$ (a) Dorsal view. (b) View from the left. (c) 5th pair of legs. (d) 5th pair of legs, another view. (original).


Fig. 32. Pseudodiaptomus aurivilli Cleves (a) Dorso-lateral view. (b) 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (c) 5th pair of legs, another view of anterior face. (Original).

Fifth legs clearly uniramose in both sexes, 2 -segmented in the female and 5 -segmented in the male............. .METACALANUS 73

59 Fifth legs of the female with 3 -segmented exopodites and very shere 1 -segmented endopodites; of the male, with two segmented exopodites, endopodites absent in the right foot, 1 -segmented in the left foot, the right foot larger than the left............... ISIAS 60
Fifth legs of the female with plumose setae, with 3-segmented exopodites and very short 3 - or 2 -segmented endopodites; of the male, both rami 3 -segmented in the left foot and two segmented in the right foot, right exopodite prehensile, sub-chelate. .. LUCICUTIA 72
60 Urosome 3 -segmented; 1st antenna alike on the two sides; genital segment with asymmetrical lateral margins; length 1.25 mm . \& Isias tropica Sewell (Fig. 30a to d)
Urosome 5 -segmented; 1st antenna geniculate on the right side; the third urosome segment with a projection on the right; length 1.25 mm .
*Isias tropica Sewell (Fig. 30e, f)
Note: This species was observed in Cochin harbour waters in 1956-57 during monsoon months.

61 Posterior margin of metasome drawn out into prominent spine-like
projections, one on each side....................................... 62
Posterior margin of metasome not drawn out into prominent projections. 63

62 Urosome 4 -segmented; 1st anternae alike on the two sides; 5th legs as figured; length 1.2 mm .
\& Pseudodiaptomus aurivilli Cleve (Fig. 31)
Urosome 5 ssegmented; ist antennae geniculate on the right side; 5 th legs highly complex, as figured; length 0.93 mm .
§ Pseudodiaptomus aurivilii Cleve (Fig. 32)
Notc : It is open to doubt whether Ps. mertoni Fruchtl is a valid species, distinct from
Ps.aurivilli Cleve. In the specimens examined on numerous occasions, the $\widehat{\delta}$
5th legs agreed with published figures of Ps. mertoni, while the $\$$ corresponded
more to the descriptions of Ps. aurivilli. The specific name which has priority

- is used here. This species and Ps. sericaudatus are very commonly observed in
inshore plankton collections.
63 Females only: urosome 4 -segmented; 1st antennae alike on the two sides
Males only: urosome 5-segmented; lst antennae geniculate on theright side65


Fig. 33. Pseudodiaptomus serricaudatus (T. Scott) \& (a) Dorsal view. (b) Lateral view. (c) Dorso-lateral view of last metasome segment and genital segment; Note teeth on metasome segment margin, 5th leg of right side and setiform projections near genital opening. (d) Dorsal view of genital and succeeding segment; note triangular teeth on posterior margin of segments. These are longer and more crowded at the right hand edge. Note asymmetry of segments. (Original).

$a$


Fig. 34. Pseudodiaptomus serricaudatus (T. Scott) (a) Female, 5th pair of legs. (b) Male, 5th pair of legs anterior aspect. Note long, bladelike endopod of left foot. (Original).

64 Genital segment with a prominent spine on each side pointing outwards; 5th legs as figured; length 1.18 mm .

> \& Pseudodiaptomus annandalei Sewell (Fig. 35a, b, c)

Note : Ps. annandalei was observed on a number of occasions in Cochin harbour wir rs and on a few occasions in Kozhikode during monsoon months.
Genital segment without laterally pointing spines, slightly asymmetrical, the posterior margin being more produced backwards on the right than on the left; all urosome segments with a regular row of triangular teeth on posterior margin; 5th legs as figured, length 0.9 to 1.2 mm .

IP Pseudodiaptomus serricaudatus (T. Scott) (Fig. 33, 34a)
65 Fifth legs uniramose on both sides as figured; length 1.09 inm .
© Pseudodiaptomus annandalei Sewell (Fig. 35d)
Fifth legs highly complex as figured; left leg bears a long blade-like endopod; length 0.9 to 1.1 mm .

今 Pseudodiaptomus serricaudatus (T. Scott) (Fig. 34b)
56 Body short, compact, head-end massive, caudal rami over six times as long as broad........................................TEMORA 67
67 Females only; urosome 3-segmented; 5th legs 3 -segmented and symmetrical.............................................................. . . 68
Males only: urosome 5 -segmented; 5th legs 3 -segmented, asymmetrical, the left leg forming a chela

$$
70
$$70



Fig. 35. Pseudodiaptomus annandalei Sewell (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, caudal ramus and setae. (c) Female, 5th leg of one side(d) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (a. after Sewell; b, c. after Brehm; d. Original).

68 Posterior margin of metasome rounded; length 1.50 mm .
\& Temora turbinate (Dana) (Fig. 36a, b).
Posterior margin of metasome drawn out into spines which are symmetrical
69 Caudal rami symmetrical; postero-lateral corners of cephalosome expanded into laminae; length 1.35 mm . \& Temora stylifera (Dana) (Fig. 38a, b)
Candal rami strongly asymmetrical; length 1.90 mm . \& Temora discaudata Giesbrecht (Fig. 37a, b, c)

70 Posterior margin of metasome rounded; details of 5 th legs as figured; length 1.40 mm . § Temora turbinata (Dana) (Fig. 36c)
Posterior margin of metasome drawn out into spines which are not symmetrical, the left spine being larger


Fig. 36.. Temora tubinata (Dana) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5 th leg of one side. (c) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (after Giesbrecht).

71 Asymmetry of metasomal spines is slight; details of 5th legs as figured, the middle segment of the right leg being very short and the end segment (end claw) being short as compared to $T$. discaudata; length 1.30 mm .

今 Temora stylifera (Dana) (Fig. 38c, d)
Asymmetry of metasomal spines is pronounced; details of 5th legs as figured, the middle and end segments of the right leg being much longer as compared to $T$. stylifera; length 1.80 mm .
§ Temora discaudata Giesbrecht (Fig. 37 d , e)
72 Urosome 4 -segmented; 1st antennae alike on the two sides; 5th legs symmetrical, similar to swimming legs 3 and 4 but differing in one

e
Fig. 37. Temora discaudata Giesbrecht. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, anal segment and caudal rami, different specimen. (c) Female, 5th leg of one side. (d) Male, part of metasome and urósome, dorsal view. (e) Male, 5 th pair of legs, anterior face. (a, b, c, e, after Giesbrecht; d,original).
respect, the inner distal angle of the middle exopod segment is furnished with a large awl-shaped spine; length 1.4 to 1.75 mm . ¢ Lucicutia flavicornis (Claus) (Fig. $39 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ )
Urosome 5 -segmented; lst antenna geniculate on the left side but not conspicuously; lst antennae of both sides richly furnished with aesthetasks along anterior margin; 5th legs asymmetrical, right foot prehensile; length 1.3 to 1.7 mm . § Lucicutia flayicornis (Claus) (Fig. 39 c, d)
Note: This species was observed on a few occasions at Kozhikode in plankton from 18 km . off the shore. It is a strikingly beautiful species.

73 Body short and compact as figured; end segment of 5th legs lamellar in the female and in the form of a slender smooth claw in the male; length of female 0.63 mm . of male 0.55 mm .

Metacalanus aurivilli Cleve (Fig. 40)
Note: This small copepod is quite numerous and occurs frequently in the plankton of the Gulf of Mannar during most months of the year. It was observed very seldom in plankton of the west coast.
74 Head-end truncate and rectangular without a distinct rostrum or rostral filaments; outer margins of exopods toothed in legs 1 to 4 ....................................................... . . CANDACIA 75

Head-end not truncate or rectangular; outer margins of exopods not toothed 82


Fig. 38. Temora stylifera (Dana) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th leg of one side. (c) Male, border of metasome and urosome, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior face. (after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 39. Lucicutia flavicornis (Claus) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th leg of one side. (c) Male, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior aspect. (after Giesbrecht).

Fig. 40. Metacalanus aurivilli Cleve (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, lateral view. (c) Female, urosome, dorsal view. (d) Female, ist antenna. (e) Female, 5th pair of legs. ( $f$ ) Male, Left antenna of lst pair. Note aesthetasks and incipient geniculation of the two terminal segments. (g) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior aspect. (c, e, g. after Thompson \& Scott; the rest, after Cleve).


75 Females only: urosome 3 -segmented; 1st antennae symmetrical

76 Anal segment and caudal rami asymmetrical; 5th legs long slender, tipped with three teeth close together; length 1.94 mm .
\& Candacia discaudata A. Scott (Fig. 41 a to d)
Anal segment and caudal rami symmetrical77

77 Middle segment of urosome bears a curved pointed spine in median ventral position; 5th legs slender, tipped with a single tooth; length 1.8 mm .
\& Candacia bradyi A. Scott (Fig. 42)
Middle segment of urosome without pointed ventral spine ......... 78
78 Genital segment bears long pointed processes on either side as figured; these are not symmetrical; 5th legs bear three blunt teeth at the tip; length 2.4 to 3.00 mm .
\& Candacia pachydactyla (Dana) (Fig. 44 a to d)
Genital segment bears a short flat process, one on either side symmetrically placed; tip of each 5th leg bears several thin, sharp-pointed teeth; length 2.6 mm .
\& Candacia aethiopoca (Dana) (Fig. 44 e to h)
Note: In almost every instance when observed, Candacia aethiopica had an opaque black colour over the entire dorsal surface. It occurred in plankton from 18 km . off the coast at Kozhikode.


Fig. 41. Candacia dissaudata A. Scott. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, part of metasome and urosome, lateral view. (c) Female, 5th pair of legs. (d) Female, tip of 5th leg. (e) Male, urosome, dorsal view. ( $f$ ) Male, genital segment, view from the right. ( $g$ ) Male: 5th pair of legs, posterior view. (after A. Scott).


Fig. 42. Candacia bradyi A. Scott $甲$
(a) View from the right.
(b) 5th pair of legs. (after Sewell).

Fig. 43. Candacia bradyi A. Scott $\delta(=$ C. tuberculata Wolfenden). (a) Dorsal view. (b) Urosome, dorsal view. (c) Urosome, first two segments, view from the right. (d) 5th pair of legs, anterior aspect. (e) Left 5th leg, last two segments, edge view. (after Wolfenden)



Fig. 44. Candacia pachydactyla (Dana) \& (a) Dorsal view. (b) Urosome, dorsal view. (c) Urosome, lateral view. Note spermatophore attached to ventral surface of genital segment. (d) 5th pair of legs. Candacia aethiopica (Dana) $\&$ (e) Urosome, dorsal view. ( $f$ ) Urosome, lateral view. (g) 5th pair of legs. ( $h$ ) 3rd leg, terminal exopod segment; Note toothed margin. (after Colefax).


Fig. 45. Candacia pachydactyla (Dana) o
(a) Part of metasome and urosome, dorsal view. (b) 5th pair of legs, anterior aspect. Candacia aethiopica (Dana) of (c) Part of metasome and urosome, dorsal view. (d) 5 th pair of legs, anterior aspect. (a, c, d. after Colefax; b. after Wilson)
79 Metasome corners symmetrical ..... 80
Metasome corners asymmetrical, more produced on the right ..... 81

80 Anal segment and caudal rami asymmetrical though less so than in the female; details of 5th legs as figured; process on the right of the genital segment comparatively simple; length 1.8 mm .
§ Candacia discaudata A. Scott (Fig. 41 e, f, g) Anal segment and caudal rami symmetrical; details of 5 th legs as figured; process on the right of the genital segment more complicated as figured; a slight eminence present on the right side of the following segment also; length 1.9 mm .

क Candacia bradyi A. Scott (Fig. 43)
81 Metasome corner of right side prolonged to reach beyond the genital segment; a bluntly pointed process present on the right of the genital segment; details of 5th legs as figured; length 2.3 to 2.6 mm . \& Candacia pachydactyla (Dana) (Fig. $45 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ )
Metasome corner of right side is only a little longer than the left and does not reach beyond genital segment; a flat outgrowth present on the right of the genital segment; details of 5th legs as figured; length 2.4 mm .
o Candacia aethiopica (Dana) (Fig. $45 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ )
82 Body not usually pellucid; anterior lip not greatly enlarged; 5th legs not very slender or spine like ; endopod of 2nd antenna 2 -segmented with distal segment shorter than proximal83

Body fusiform and usually highly pellucid; anterior lip large, prominent, three-lobed; 5th legs of females usually spiniform; endopod of 2 nd antenna either of one short segment or two long segments, distal as long as proximal .105

83 Cuticular eye-lenses not present One pair of cuticular eye-lenses present dorsally on the cephalosome 34 Females only: urosome 2-segmented; 1st antenna not geniculate; 5th legs 4 -segmented85

Males only : urasome 5-segmented, 1st antenna geniculate on the right side; right 5th leg chelate, prehensile
85 Left 5th leg longer than the right; length of body 1.9 mm .
¢ Calanopia elliptica (Dana) (Fig. 46 a, b, c)
Left 5 th leg symmetrical with right 5th leg
86 Terminal seta of 5th leg is very long and slender; tip of terminal segment bears 2 long pointed teeth outer to the seta; length 1.34 mm . \& Calanopia aurivilli Cleve (Fig. 47 a, b, c)

Terminal seta of 5 th leg is moderately long, stoutish, tip of terminal segment bears a single tooth, outer to the seta; length 1.4 mm .
¢ Calanopia minor A. Scott (Fig. 48 a, b, c)
37 Second urosome segment bears a small but distinct tooth-like projection on the posterior margin of the right side; details of 5th legs as figured; length 1.8 mm .

5 Calanopia elliptica (Dana) (Fig. $46 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}$ )
Second urosome segment bears no tooth-like projection
88 Inner margin of second segment of left 5th leg is raised into an eminence tipped with a small flat plate; length 1.12 mm .
§ Calanopia aurivilli Cleve (Fig. 47 d )
Inner margin of second segment of left 5 th leg is raised into an eminence tipped with a small curved pointed tooth; length 1.2 mm .
$\delta$ Calanopia minor A. Scott (Fig. 48 d, e)
89 Anterior margin of head with a median pointed hook curved to the ventral aspect; body size large, 2.8 to 3.4 mm .
Anterior margin of head without a hook, body size lesser, 2.1 mm . or less


Fig. 46. Calanopia elliptica (Dana) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b). Female, part of metasome and genital segment, left aspect. (c) Female, 5th pair of legs, posterior aspect. (d) Male, urosome, dorsal view. (e) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior aspect. (after A. Scott)


Fig. 47. Calanopia aurivilli Clave (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, part of metasome and genital segment, lateral view. (c) Female, 5th pair of legs. (d) Male, 5 th pair of legs, posterior aspect. (after A. Scott.)


Fig. 48. Calanopia minor A. Scott (a) Female. dorsal view. (b) Female, part of metasome and genital segment, lateral view. (c) Female, 5 th pair of legs. (d) Male, urosome, dorsal view. (e) Male, Fth pair of legs, posterior aspect (after A. Scott)

90 Urosome 3-segmented; 1st antennae symmetrical; corners of metasome symmetrical; eye-lenses not so large as in the male; 5th legs as figured; length 3.00 to 3.40 mm .
\& Labidocera acuta (Dana) (Fig. 49)
Urosome 5 -segmented, 1st antenna geniculate on the right side; corners of metasome unlike on the two sides as figured; eye-lenses larger than in the female; 5th legs as figured; length 2.8 to 3.3 mm . $\delta$ Labidocera acuta (Dana) (Fig. 49)
91 Females only: urosome 3-segmented; 1st antennae symmetrical.... 92 Males only: urosome 5 -segmented, 1 st antenna geniculate on the right side.
92 Corners of metasome drawn out into down curved points that are clearly perceived in lateral view; details of urosome and 5th legs as figured; length 2.1 mm .
\& Labidocera pectinata Thompson \& Scott (Fig. 50)
Posterior margin of metasome rounded, with a very small projection present on the right side oniy, not visible in dorsal view; details of urosome and 5th legs as figured; length 2.1 mm .
\& Labidocera minuta Giesbrecht (Fig. 52 a to d)
Corners of metasome ending in points but not drawn out; urosome condensed, very short, with a peg-like projection on the right side; 5th legs as figured; length 1.9 mm .
© Labidocera pavo Giesbrecht (Fig. 53 a, b)
Note- L. pectinata is the commonest Labidocera in coastal plankton.


Fig. 49. Labidocera acuta (Dana) (a) Fémale, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs. (c) Male, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, anterior aspect. (original)

93 Corners of metasome drawn out into prominent spines, the spine on the right side bifid; genital segment and 5th legs as figured; length 1.7 mm .

今 Labidocera pectinata Thompson \& Scott (Fig. 51)
Corners of metasome drawn out into spines, the spine on the right longer and somewhat spatulate; details of urosome and 5th legs as figured; length 1.54 to 1.75 mm .
\& Labidocera minutia Giesbrecht (Fig. 52 e, f)
Corners of metasome ending in points but not drawn out, details of fth legs as figured; length 1.9 mm

क Labidocera pavo Giesbrecht (Fig. $53 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ )


Fig. 50. Labidocera pectinata Thompson and Scott $\rho$ (a) Dorsal view. (b) Urosome, dorsal view. (c) Part of metasome and urosome, view from the right. (d) 5th pair of legs, posterior aspect (a, d. after Thompson \& Scott; b, c. original)


Fig. 51. Labidocera pectinata Thompson and Scott $\delta$ (a) Dorsal view. (b) Ventral view to show 5 th pair of legs in position, metasome corners and spine on the genital segment. (c) Right 5th leg, chela. (d) Left 5 th leg. (a, c, d. after Sewell; b. original)

$a$

$b$

(a) Female, dorsal view. (b)

Fig. 52. Labidocera minuta Giesbrecht (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, part of metasome and urosome, ventral view. (c) Female, part of metasome and urosome, dorsolateral view. (d) Female, 5th pair of legs. (e) Male, part of metasome and urosome dorsal view. ( $f$ ) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior aspect. (a, c, f. after Colefax; b, d, e. after Wolfenden)


Fig. 53. Labidocera pavo Giesbrecht (a) Female, dorsal view of metasome corners and urosome. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs. (c) Male, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs. (after Sewell)


Fig. 54. Pontella danae Giesbrecht. (a) Female, dorsal view, variety ceylonica. (b) Female, trosome, dorsal view, variety ceylonica. (c) Female, 5th pair of legs, variety ceylonica. (d) Female, rostrum, variety ceylonca. (e) Male, right 5th leg. ( $f$ ) Male, terminal segments of left 5th leg. (a, b, c, d. after Thompson and Scott; eff. after Giesbrecht)


C


Fig. 55. Pontella securifer Brady \& (a) Dorsal view. (b) 5th leg of one side. Pontella spinipes Giesbrecht $\&(c)$ Dorsal view. (d) 5th leg of one side. (after Wilson)

$c$
Fig. 56. Pontella securifer Brady $\delta$ (a) Dorsal view. (b) Rostrum, lateral view to show ventral eye and rostral lens. (c) 5th pair of legs, anterior aspect. (a, c. after Colefax; b. original)

94 Both the caudal rami distinctly separated from the anal segment. . . 95
Left caudal ramus distinctly separated from the anal segment but the right caudal ramus more or less fused with it ................... 103

95 One pair of dorsal eye-lenses are present, larger in the males, rostral lens also usually present; rostral rami comparatively short, lateral margins of the head with a hook on each side ....PONTELLA 96
Dorsal and rostral eye-lenses quite absent; rostral rami comparatively long and slender; head without lateral hooks

PONTELLOPSIS 100
96 Females only: urosome 2-segmented, genital segment with various outgrowths and excrescences concealing the urosome segments in dorsal view; urosome and caudal rami more or less asymmetrical; 1st antennae alike on the two sides ................................ . 97
Males only: urosome 5 -segmented, the segments clearly visible in dorsal view ; urosome and caudal rami little or not at all asymmetrical; lst antenna geniculate on the right side99

97 Corners of metasome only a little asymmetrical, the left one being a little longer; right caudal ramus distinctly larger and bearing a vertical crest-like extension visible in lateral view; left 5th leg longer with 2 outer spines on exopodite, one of which is distinct; length 3.4 mm .
\& Pontella danae Giesbrecht, variety ceylonica, Thompson \& Scott (Fig. 54 a to d)
Corners of metasome strongly asymmetrical, the left lobe reaching the middle of the left caudal ramus; right caudal ramus larger; 5th legs not unequal in length
98 Genital segment with two or three finger-like outgrowths on the dorsal surface; exopods of 5 th legs strongly curved, with 4 outer spinules; length 4.4 mm .
\& Pontella securifer Brady (Fig. 55a, b)
Genital segment with transverse corrugations on the dorsal surface; right caudal ramus larger but its sctae much shorter as compared to left side; exopods of 5th legs strongly curved, with 3 outer spinules; length 4.5 mm
\& Pontella spinipes Giesbrecht (Fig. 55c, d)
99 In the chela of the right 5th leg, the terminal claw bears on its inner margin, about half way along its length, three rounded processes; the "hand" bears three short more or less triangular processes;
the "thumb" is long, smooth and simple; it has no corrugated appendage; body length 3.2 to 4.4 mm .
$\delta$ Pontella spinipes Giesbrecht (Fig. 57)
In the chela of the right 5 th leg, the terminal claw bears no rounded processes near the middle of its length; but only two setae, proximally placed; the "hand" bears one, long, pointed process and one very short rounded process; the "thumb" is short; it has a corrugated appendage; body length 4.3 mm .
§ Pontella securifer Brady (Fig. 56)
Details of the 5th legs as figured, terminal claw and "hand" of the right 5th leg very similar to $P$. seiurifer but the thumb has no corrugated process; tip of left 5 th leg as figured; body length 3.1 mm .
$\delta$ Pontella danae Giesbrecht (Fig. $54 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ )
100 Females only : urosome 2 -segmented, 1 st antennae symmetrical.... 101
Males only : urosome 5 -segmented; 1st antenna geniculate on the right side102


Fig. 57. Pontella spinipes Giesbrecht $\delta($ (a) View from the right. (b) Chela of right 5th leg. (c) Left 5th leg. (after Sewell)

101 Metasome corners symmetrical; genital segment with outgrowths as figured, the spine on the 'right posterior corner being the largest, caudal rami quite symmetrical; length 1.90 mm ; the male undescribed yet.
\& Pontellopsis herdmani Thompson \& Scott (Fig. 58)
Metasome corners symmetrical; genital segment with a different arrangement of outgrowths as figured, the left posterior spine being the largest, caudal rami slighily asymmetrical; length 1.7 to 1.97 mm
\& Pontellopsis macronyx A. Scott (Fig. 59a, b)
Metasome corners asymmetrical, the left corner bearing a longer ${ }^{\text {p }}$ projection; genital segment with two long spinous projections on the right margin as figured, right caudal ramus slightly more posterior in position than the left, length 1.6 to 1.9 mm .
¢ Pontellopsis scotti Sewell (Fig. 60 a, b)


Fig. 58. Pontellopsis herdmani Thompson \& Scott. $\%$ (a) Dorsal view (b) 5th pair of legs. (after Sewell).


Fig. 59. Pontellopsis macronyx A. Scott (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs. (c) Male, urosome and a part of metasome, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (after A. Scott)


Fig. 60. Pontellopsis scotti Sewell. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs. (c) Male, dorsal view. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs. (after Sewell).

102 Right metasomal spine dilated at base and curved inwards as figured; 3rd urosome segment with a peg-like projection on the right margin; in the chela of the right 5th leg, the fixed claw is very long, straight and pointed; length 1.67 mm .
of Pontellopsis macronyx A. Scott (Fig. $59 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ )
Right metasomal spine slightly curved, shaped as in the figure; 2nd and 3 rd urosome segments each with a group of minute teeth on the right margin; fixed claw of the chela curved, its tip spatulate; length 1.42 mm .
\& Pontellopsis scotii Sewell (Fig. 60 c, d)
103 Body short and robust; no dorsal eye-lenses; plumose setae of 2nd antennae and mandibular palps are very long and conspicuous .................................. . . PONTELLINA 104

104 Urosome 2-segmented; 1st antennae alike on two sides; 5th legs a figured; length 1.6 to 1.9 mm .
\& Pontellina plumata (Dana) (Fig. $61 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ )
Urosome 5 -segmented; 1st antenna geniculated on the right side; 5th legs as figured; length 1.5 to 1.65 mm . $\delta$ Pontellina plumata (Dana) (Fig. 61 c )
Note- Pontellina piumata occurred frequently in the plankton from 18 km . off the coast at Kozhikode. It is easily recognized by the large setac borne on the 2nd antennae and mandibular palps which are used in swimming. The movements of Pontellina recall the flapping movements of the wirgs of a bird in flight.

$a$
C
Fig. 61. Pontellina plumata (Dana). (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs. (c) Male, 5th pair of legs. (a, b. after Colefax, c. after Wilson).


Fig. 62. (a) Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht $q$ Dorsal view. (b) Acartia erytliraea Giesbrecht $\&$ Dorsal view. (c) Acartia centrura Giesbrecht $\&$ Dcartia
view. (after Giesbrecht).

Fig. 63. (a) Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht\& 2nd antenna. (b) Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht of 5th leg. (c) Acartia erythraea Giesbrecht of 5th leg. (d) Acartia centrura Giesbrecht of 5th leg. (a. original; b, c, d. after


105 Second antenna with two-jointed endopod in which the distal segment is as long as the proximal (Fig. 63 b ), 5th legs of the female always uniramose, slender and spine-like.........ACARTIA 106
Second antenna with a short one-jointed endopod that is fused to the basal segment, and a one-jointed exopod (Fig. 69 b ); 5th legs of the female biramose, spine-like................ACARTIELLA 116

106 Posterior margin of metasome drawn out into spines 107

Posterior margin of metasome without large spines.
107 Two prominent metasomal spines present and in addition two smaller spines present, situated more dorsally and nearer the middle line

Two prominent metasomal spines present but no additional spines. . 113
108 Females only: urosome 3-segmented; 1st antennae alike on two sides
Males only: urosome 5-segmented, 4th segment very short, second segment the largest, 1st segment with lateral genital aperture, 1st antenna of the right side is geniculate but very indistinctly...... 111

109 The 2nd urosome segment bears two small inconspicuous spines placed close together; terminal claw of 5th leg thickened a little at the base, slightly curved, smooth, and without any notch; length 1.0 to 1.4 mm .

우 Acartia erythraea Giesbrecht (Fig. 62b, 63c)
The 2nd urosome segment bears two spines that are comparable in size and position to the spines on the first urosome segment. . . . . 110

110 The spines of the metasome corners are as large as in A. erythraea; terminal claw of 5th leg swollen at the base, smooth, straight and with a distinct notch as figured; length 1.20 to 1.24 mm .
\& Acartia centrura Giesbrecht (Fig. 62c, 63d)
The spines of the metasome corners are smaller than in $A$. erythraea and $A$. centrura; terminal claw of 5th leg scarcely widened at base, straight, without notch and with serrations on distal half as figured; length 1.0 to 1.3 mm .
\& Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht (Fig. 62a, 63b)
Note- Great care is required to distinguish from one another the above three species of Acartia. While $A$. centrura appears to be less frequent than the other two, $A$. erythraea is the most abundant at Madras, and A. spinicauda is at least as common as A. erythraea at Kozhikode and Cochin.

111 Second urosome segment wider than long, with two pairs of prominent spines, inner pair as large as the outer; details of 5 th legs as figured, length 1.0 to 1.3 mm .

今 Acartia erythraea Giesbrecht (Fig. 64b, 65b) Second urosome segment almost as wide as long


Fig. 64. (a) Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht, \$ Urosome, dorsal view. (b) Acartia erythraea Giesbrecht ô Urosome, dorsal view. (c) Acartia centrura Giesbrecht o Urosome, dorsal view. (after Giesbrecht).


G


Fig. 65. (a) Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht $\delta$, 5th pair of legs, anterior view. (b) Acartia erythraea Giesbrecht $\delta$, 5th pair of legs, posterior view (c) Acartia centrura Giesbrecht $\delta$, 5th pair of legs; anterior view. (after Giesbrecht).

112 Second urosome segment with two pairs of spines, inner pair smaller than outer; spines on third urosome segment are long and overreach - the very short 4th segment as figured; details of 5th legs as figured; length 1.0 to 1.2 mm .

今 Acartia spinicauda Giesbrecht (Fig. 64a, 65a)
Second urosome segment with three pairs of spines, the two inner pairs quite small, the outer pair a little longer; spines on third urosome segment do not fully overreach the 4th segment; details of 5 th legs as figured; length 1.02 mm .

今 Acartia centrura Giesbrecht (Fig. 64c, 65c)
113 The 5th legs of the female as figured, straight, with a crowd of small teeth near the tip; male underscribed; length 1.0 to 1.2 mm .
\& Acartia danae Giesbrecht (Fig. 66)
114 Metasome of female with very minute spinules only; 5th leg as figured, with a crowd of smaall teeth near the tip as in $A$. danae; marine in habit; length 0.8 to 1.1 mm ; male undescribed.
\& Acartia negligens Dana (Fig. 67)

$a$


Fig. 66. Acartia danae Giesbrecht $\%$ (a) Dorsal view. (b) 5th leg of one side. (after Giesbrecht).

Metasome, posterior margin smooth or with minute spinules only; habitat estuarine and brackish water; both sexes known......... 115
115 Dorsal view of female and details of 5th legs as figured; female 5th legs, smooth, slightly curved and without notch, as in A. erythraea; length of $\$ 0.73$ to 0.80 mm ; of $\$ 0.70$ to 0.75 mm . :

ㅇ 8 Acartia southwelli Se:vell (Fig. 68, a,b,c)
Dorsal view of female, of male urosome and details of 5th legs as figured; female 5th legs straight, with a notch as in $A$. centrura but set with short hairs on both margins; length 1.0 to 1.1 mm in both sexes
\& 8 Acartia chilkaensis Sewell (Fig. 68 d to h )
116 Urosome 3-segmented, anal segment very short; right caudal ramus a little longer than the left; details of 5 th legs as figured; length 2.38 to 1.57 mm .
\& Acartiella sewelli Steuer (Fig. 69a,b,c)
Urosome 4-segmented; right caudal ramus longer than the left, this inequality being more pronounced than in the female; details of the 5th legs as figured, right leg longer and with a short process arising from its basal segment; length 1.27 to 1.51 mm .
$\delta$ Acartiella sewelli Stepuer (Fig. 69d, e)
Note:- These three species were observed in Cochin harbour waters in monsoon months. When the salinity is at its lowest and zooplankton elemeats are almost entirely absent, $A$. sewelli is seen to occur as the sole zooplankton element and is therefore noteworthy. Its occurrence in Indian coastal areas appears to be a new record, since it was described from Burma.


Fig. 67. Acarlia negligens Dana $\&$ (a) Dorsal view: (b) 5th leg of one side (only one half of seta is shown). (after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 68. Acartia southwelli Sewell. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs. (c) Male, 5 th pair of legs, anterior face. Acartia chilkaensis Sewell (d) Female, dorsal view. (e) Female, urosome, lateral view. ( $f$ ) Female, 5 th pair of legs.. (g) Male, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. (h) Male, urosome and a part of metasome, dorsal view. (a, b, c. after Sewell; d. to h. after Steuer).


Fig. 69, Acartiella sewelli Steuer (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 2nd antenna. (c) Female, fth pair of legs. (d) Male, Fth pair of legs, posterior face. (e) Male, urosome, dorsal view. (after Steuer).

117 Anal segment and caudal rami usually asymmetrical, this being less pronounced or absent in the males; anal segment with a peculiar twist .TORTANUS 118

118 Fifth legs of female asymmetrical, left leg longer, with a group of teeth on inner border of terminal segment; dorsal view of female as figured, length 1.36 to 1.43 mm ., male undescribed. \& Tortanus barbatus (Brady) (Fig. $70 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ )
Fifth legs of female symmetrical or nearly so; details of male 5th legs as figured; dorsal view of female very similar to $T$. barbatus; length of male 1.5 mm ., of female 1.6 to 1.8 mm .
\& of Tortanus gracilis (Brady) (Fig. $70 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ )

## 119 No eye-lenses present on the head... .............................. . . . . 120

One pair of eye-lenses present on the head. ............................... . . . 125


Fig. 70. Tortanus barbatus (Brady) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, 5th pair of legs, posterior face. Tortanus gracilis (Brady) (c) Female, 5th pair of legs. (d) Male, 5th pair of legs posterior face. (a, b. after A. Scott; c, d. after Cleve).

120 Fifth pair of legs with the basal segment possessing the inward expansion characteristic of the Harpacticoida (Fig. 72 d)...... 121
Fifth pair of legs without the characteristic inward expansion.... 126
121 Endopods of 2nd legs greatly elongated; inward expansion of 5th legs narrow, curved and pointed.
.LONGIPEDIA 122
Endopods of 2nd legs not elongated; body fusiform; caudal setae very long

122 Anal operculum with the central tooth a little longer than the two teeth on each side (Fig. 71 e ) : female as depicted, length 0.95 mm .; male undescribed.

## ¢ Longipedia weberi A. Scott (Fig. 71 a to e)

Anal operculumn with the central tooth much longer than the two teeth on each side (Fig. 71 g ); general appearance much as in $L$. weberi, length of 0.8 to 1.30 mm .; of male 1.08 mm . \& 8 Longipedia coronata Claus (Fig. $71 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ )


Fig. 71. Longipedia weberi A. Scott $\% ~(a)$ Dorsal view. (b) Lateral view. (c) 2nd leg of one side. (d) 5th leg of one side. (e) Anal operculum. Longipedia coronata Claus ( $f$ ) Male, 5th leg. ( $g$ ) Female, anal operculum. (a, c, d, f. after Sewell; b, e, g. after A. Scott).


Fig. 72. Microsetella norvegica (Boeck) (a) Dorsal view of \&. (b) Lateral view of $\%$. (c) Female, 2nd antenna. (d) Female, 5th leg of one side. (e) Male, lateral view. (after G.O. Sars).


Fig. 73. Microsetella rosea (Dana) one side. (after Giesbrecht).

123 Caudal rami as long as broad, inconspicuous, 2nd antennae with 3 -segmented exopodite (Fig. 72 c ) ; small in size, less than


Caudal rami slender, cylindrical, over 4 times as long as broad; 2nd antennae without any exopodite; larger in size, over 1.0 mm ; caudal setae about as long as the body; length of female 1.4 to 1.5 mm ., of male 1.16 to 1.30 mm .

ㅇ $\delta$ Macrosetella gracilis (Dana) (Fig. 74)


Fig. 74. Macrosetella gracilis (Dana) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Male, lateral view. (c) Female, 5th leg of one side. (d) Male, 5th leg of one side. (a, b. after Giesbrecht; c. d. after Wilson).


Fig. 75. Macrosetella oculata (G.O. Sars) (a) Female, lateral view. (b) Female, 5th leg of one side. (c) Male, 5th leg of one side. (after Wilson).


Fig. 76. Miracia efferata Dana (a) Female, lateral view. (b) Female, dorsal view. (c) Female, 5th leg of one side. (d) Male, 5th leg of one side. (after Wilson).

124 The inward expansion of the basal of the 5th leg bears one short and one long seta; caudal setae about as long as the body; length of female 0.35 to 0.53 mm ., of male 0.33 to 0.42 mm .
\& $\delta$ Microsetella norvegice (Boeck) (Fig. 72)
The inward expansion of the basal of the 5th leg bears two equally long setae; caudal setae $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as the body; length of female 0.64 to 0.85 mm .; of male 0.56 mm .
\& $\hat{8}$ Microsetella rosea (Dana) (Fig. 73)
125 Second antennae without an exopodite; apical caudal setae more than twice as long as caudal rami ; body proportions as figured, length of female 1.2 to 1.35 mm ., of male 1.15 to 1.30 mm .
\& 8 Macrosetella oculata (G.O. Sars) (Fig. 75)
Second antennae with a small one-jointed exopodite; apical caudal setae only a little longer than the caudal rami; body proportion as figured; length of female 1.75 to 2.10 mm . of male 1.4 to 1.6 mm \& $\delta$ Miracia efferata Dana (Fig. 76)

126 Body depressed, with anguiar projections at the posterior corners of the cephalosome and the next three segments; 5th legs long, narrow, 2-segmented and tipped with setae............................... 127

Body not depressed; 5th legs plate-like............................. . . . . . 128

127 Exopod of 2 nd antenna represented by two long setae; Ist antenna 8 -segmented; caudal rami twice as long as broad; apical caudal setae very long in the males only, quite short in the females; length of female 1.07 to 1.30 mm ., of male about the same \& $\delta$ Clytemnestra scutellata Dana (Fig. 77; 78 a to d)

Exopod of 2nd antenna represented by one long seta; 1st antenna 7 -segmented through the fusion of two terminal segments; caudal rami hardly as long as broad; length of female 0.5 to 0.87 mm ., of male 0.4 to 0.7 mm

우 Clytemnestra rostrata (Brady) (Fig. 78 e, f, g)
Note: The three most frequently seen Harpacticoids in inshore plankton are (in decreasing order of frequency) Euterpina acutifrons, Clytemnestra scutellata and Macrosetella gracilis. Clytemnestra scutellata is remarkable for its rapid movements. Each of these three species may, on occasion, occur in "swarm" condition eclipsing all other zooplankton elements in numbers.


Fig. 77. Clytemnestra scutellata Dana, female (a) Dorsal view. (b) Lateral view. (c) 5 th leg of one side. (after G.O. Sars).


Fig. 78. Clytemnestra scutellata Dana (a) Female, 1st antenna of one side. (b) Female, 2nd antenna. (c) Female, caudal rami and anal segment, (d) Male, last two segments of urosome, caudal rami. Clytemnestra rostrata (Brady) (e) First antenna, segments 4, 5, 6 and 7. (f) Second antenna. (g) Anal segment and caudal rami. (after Giesbrecht).

128 Body subpyriform; cephalosome drawn out in front into a greatly prominent rostral projection, acuie at the tip; 5th legs formed by two undivided juxtaposed plates in the female, coalesced in the middle in the male; length of female 0.5 to 0.8 mm ., of male 0.50 to 0.66 mm .
\& $\delta$ Euterpina acutifrons (Dana) (Fig. 79)
Body onion-shaped, length of body greatly abbreviated, 5th legs rudimentary, more or less fused, unlike in the two sexes; length of female 0.35 to 0.5 mm ., of male 0.3 to 0.4 mm .

$$
\text { ㅇ } \delta \text { Metis jousseaumei (Richard) (Fig. 80) }
$$

Note:- Metis jousseaumei has been observed several times in the Gulf of Nannar but on
a few occasions only at Kozhikode.
129 Cuticular eye-lenses not present on the cephalosome ..... 130
Cuticular eye-lenses present on the cephalosome ..... 138


Fig. 79. Euterpina acutifrons (Dana) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, lateral view. (c) Female, list antenna. (d) Female, 5th pair of legs. (e) Male, 1st antenna of one side. ( $f$ ) Male, 5th pair of legs. (after G.O. Sars).
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Fig. 80. Metis jousseaumei (Richard) (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) legs. (e) Male, rostrum. (f) Male, 5th pair of legs. (a. after Sewell; b to f. after Wilson).

130 Body not depressed, of cyclopoid form, maxillipeds normal....... 131
Body depressed, leaf-like and transparent as in Sapphirina......... 140
Body depressed but of cyclopoid form; maxillipeds specialized in both sexes.. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 152

131 Genital segment only a little larger than the other urosome segments; maxillipeds and 2nd maxillae slender, covered with numerous spiny bristles........................................... OITHONA 132
Genital segment conspicuously enlarged; succeeding segments inconspicuous; maxillipeds 3 -segmented, subchelate, forming powerful grasping organs in both sexes.................... ONCAEA 137

132 Females only: metasome very slender, fusiform; head terminating in front in a pointed rostrum ; 1st antennae not geniculate on either side, bearing numerous setae; urosome 5 -segmented; genital segment a little wider than the other urosome segments......... 133
Males only: metasome not so slender as in the female, smaller in length; head-end truncate, without a rostrum; each 1st antenna twice geniculate, with fewer setae than in the female; urosome 6 -segmented; genital segment wider than other urosome segments, this being more pronounced than in the female................. 136

133 Rostrum visible in dorsal view; body length over 1 mm ; outer marginal spines of the terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 number $2,2,1,1$; two apical setae greatly elongated in each caudal ramus..................................................................... . . 134

Rostrum bent down, not visible in dorsal view; body length less than 1 mm .; outer marginal spine formula variable; apical setae not so greatly elongated........................................................... 135
Rostıum not visible in dorsal view; body length 1.2 mm .; metasome and cephalosome together being shorter than urosome (11:13); metasome only about 3 times wider than urosome; outer marginal spines of the terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 number $3,2,1,1$; male undescribed.
\& Oithona linearis Giesbrecht (Fig. 83 c)
134 Conspicuously coloured plumose setae are present on the outer margin of second basipod segment of legs 2,3 and 4 and they project outwards and upwards, being visible in dorsal view if undamaged; the enlarged caudal setae are also plumose and conspicuous; genital segment bears a bunch of fine hairs on each side ventrally; length 1.0 to 1.5 mm . ¢ Oithona plumifera Baird (Fig, $81 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ )

No conspicuous setae borne on basipod segment of legs 2, 3 and 4; enlarged caudal setae not plumose; no bunch of hairs on genital segment; length 1.25 to 1.40 mm
\% Oithona spinirostris Claus (Fig. 81 a)
135 First antennae reach up to end of metasome; outer marginal spines are $2,1,1,0$ in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; body highly pellucid; length 0.7 to 0.95 mm .
\& Oithona similis Claus (Fig. 82 a)


Fig. 81. (a) Oithona spinirostris Claus \& Dorsal view (after Sars). (b) Oithona plumifera Baird \& Lateral view (after Sewell). (c) O. plumifera Baird $\&$ Genital segment, lateral view. (after Colefax).

First antennae reach up to end of 3rd metasome segment only; outer marginal spines are 3, 3, 3, 2 in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; body usually with much pigment; caudal setae coarsely plumose, forming a fan; length 0.75 to 0.85 mm .
\& Oithona rigida Giesbrecht (Fig. 82 c , d)
First antennae reach up to 2nd metasome segment only; outer marginal spines are $3,3,3,2$ in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; the two longer setae on each caudal ramus show a peculiar crossed arrangement; length 0.6 mm .
\& Oithona brevicornis Giesbrecht (Fig. 83 a)
136 First antennae twice geniculate, the first segment beyond the proximal elbow, sheathing the base of the segment beyond it; the 1st segment beyond the distal elbow bearing a small semicircular process (Fig. 82 b); outer marginal spines are 2, 2, 2, 2 in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; length 0.5 to 0.7 mm .
\$ Oithona similis Claus (Fig. 82 b)


Fig. 82. (a) Oithona similis Claus $\&$ Dorsal view. (after Sars) Oithora similis Claus of lst antenna to show sheathing base of 1st segment after 1st geniculation and semicircular process on 1st segment after 2nd geniculation (after W.M. Wheeler). (c) Oithona rigida Giesbrecht\& Dorsal view. (after Cleve). (d) Oithona rigida Giesbrecht \& Lateral view. (after Cleve).

First antennae as described above; outer marginal spines are 2, 3, 3, 2 in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; length 0.75 to 1.0 mm © Oithona plumifera Baird
First antennae twice geniculate; only a trace of the sheathing base is found: semicircular process absent on 1st segment beyond distal elbow; outer marginal spines are $2,3,3,2$ in terminal exopod. segments of legs 1 to 4 ; length 0.75 mm . to 0.85 mm .

## 今 Oithona spinirostris Claus

First antennae twice geniculate; sheathing base appears to be present; semicircular process absent; outer marginal spines are $3,3,3,2$ in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; length 0.7 mm .
© Oithona rigid Giesbrecht
First antennae twice geniculate; neither proximal sheath nor distal semicircular process is present; outer marginal spines are $3,3,3,2$ in terminal exopod segments of legs 1 to 4 ; length 0.55 mm .
§ Oithona brevicornis Giesbrecht (Fig. 83 b )
Note:- Oithona rigid is the commonest species of Oithona in inshore waters. O. plumifera and $O$. spinirostiis were observed very often at Kozhikode in plankton from 18 km . off the shore. They have been observed also at Cochin, Madras and Mandapam


Fig. 83. (a) Oithona brevicornis Giesbrecht Female Dorsal view. (b) Oithona brevicornis Giesbrecht Male Dorsal view. (c) Oithona linearis Giesbrecht Female Dorsal view. (a, b. after Wilson; c. after Wolfenden).

137 Second free metasome segment raised into a prominent hump on the dorsal middle line; outline of the genital segment in both sexes as figured; length of female 0.75 to 1.25 mm ., of male 0.6 to 0.8 mm . ㅇ \$ Oncaea conifera Giesbrecht (Fig. 84 d to g )

Second free metasome segment not raised into a hump; anterior part of the body obovate in the female, less wide in the male; genital segment more conspicuously enlarged in the male than in the female and followed by 3 (in the female) or 4 (in the male) segments which are closely telescoped together; length of female 1.1 to 1.27 mm , of male 0.7 to 1.00 mm .

ㅇ $\$$ Oncaea venusta Philippi (Fig. $84 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ )
138 Body moderately depressed or not at all; caudal rami styliform.... 139
Body greatly depressed and flattened, most so in the males; caudal rami broadly lamellate, without elongated setae.

SAPPHIRINA 148


Fig. 84. Oncaea venusta Philippi. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Male, dorsal view. (c) Male, urosome, ventral view. Oncaea conifera Giesbrecht (d) Female, dorsal view. (e) Female, lateral view. (f) Female, urosome, ventral. (g) Male, urosome, ventral view. (a, b, c, f. g̀. after Giesbrecht; d, e. after Farran).
i 39 Body not at all depressed, club-shaped; head rounded anteriorly; the last metasome segment usually and the penultimate segment always, provided with tailward proiongations or 'lappets'; 2nd antennae stout, subchelate, larger in the males than in the females; single egg-sac, borne dorsally on the genital segment........... 141
Body moderately depressed; head squarely truncated in front, with the corneal lenses near the corners; free metasome segments without lateral prolongations; 2nd antennae, slender, prehensile, 4 -segmented; two egg-sacs borne laterally on the genital segment
\& COPILIA
145
140 Body depressed greatly as in Sapphirina but distinguishable from the latter by absence of eye-lenses and by the greatly elongated and styliform caudal rami; mouth parts in general reduced.
§COPILIA 146
141 The two free metasome segments usually not fused together; urosome 2 -segmented; 2nd antennae 3 -segmented; no beak-shaped process on the ventral surface

CORYCAEUS 142
The two free metasome segments fused together; urosome one-segmented; 2nd antennae 4 -segmented (Fig. 87 e) ; a protuberance is present in the median ventral line behind the maxillipeds, beak-like in the female, rounded in the male.
. CORYCELLA 144
142 Caudal rami very short, equal in length to the anal segment in the male, a little longer than anal segment in the female; male genital segment with a ventral hook at the anterior border; length of female 1.00 mm ., of male 0.80 mm .
\& $\frac{1}{}$ Corycaeus catus F. Dahl (Fig. 87 a, b, c)
Caudal rami long, always nearly equal to the urosome in length in both sexes; male genital segment without a ventral hook.

143 Lappets of metasome very long, reaching up to the end of the genital segment in the female, up to the middle of the genital segment in the male; genital segment of female does not overhang the anal segment; length of female 2.3 mm ., of male 1.9 mm .

ㅇ \& Corycaeus speciosus Dana (Fig. 85)
Lappets of metasome long but not reaching up to the end of genital segment in the female or up to the middle of the genital segment in the male; genital segment overhangs the anal segment in the female as seen in lateral view (Fig. 86 b ) ; details of 2 nd antennae of both sexes as figured; length of female 1.6 to 1.7 mm ., of male 1.4 to 1.5 mm .
\& $\delta$ Corycaeus danae Giesbrecht (Fig. 86)


Fig. 85. Corycaeus speciosus Dana dorsal view. (after Giesbrecht).

(a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Male,

Fig. 86. Corycaeus danae Giesbrecht (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Female, lateral view-note the slanting junction between genital and anal segments. (c) Female, 2nd antenna (note 3 segments). (d) Male, dorsal view. (e) Male, 2nd antenna. (a, b, c, e. after Sewell, d. after Colefax).

144 Outline of the body, particularly the genital segment, in lateral view as figured; length of female 0.85 to 1.00 mm ., of male 0.82 to 0.95 mm.
\& $\widehat{\circ}$ Corycella gibbula Giesbrecht (Fig. 87d, e, f).
145 Distance between eye-lenses less than the lens diameter; length of anal segment $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times its least width: endopod as long as first exopod segment in 4th leg; length 3.2 to 5.4 mm .
\& Copilia vitrea (Haeckel) (Fig. 88 a, b, c)
Distance between eye-lenses less than twice lens diameter; length of anal segment 5 times its least width; endopod as long as first exopod segment in 4th leg; length 2.2 to 4.1 mm
\& Copilia mirabilis Dana (Fig. 88, d, e, f)

Distance between eye-lenses approximately three times the lens diameter; length of anal segment $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times its least width; endopod distinctly shorter than first exopod segment in 4th leg; length 2.2 to 4.4 mm .
\& Copilia quadrata Dana (Fig. 88 g, h, j)


Fig. 87: Corycaeus catus F. Dahl. (a) Female, dorsal view. (b) Male, dorsal view. (c) Male, urosome, lateral view (note hook). Corycella gibbula Giesbrecht (d) Female, lateral view (note ventral beak). (e) Female, 2nd antenna (note 4 segments). ( $f$ ) Male, lateral view. (a, b, c, d, f. after Colefax; e. after Wolfenden).


Fig. 88. Copilia vitrea (Haeckel) \& (a) Dorsal view. (b) Urosome, ventral view. (c) 4th leg. Copilia mirabilis Dana\& (d) Dorsal view. (e) Urosome, ventral view. ( $f$ ) 4th leg. Copilia quadrata Dana $\&$ ( $g$ ) Dorsal view. ( $h$ ) Urosome: ventral view. ( $j$ ) 4th leg. (after Lehnhofer).


Fig. 89 (a) Copilia virrea (Haeckel) o dorsal view. (after Colefax) (b) Copilia mirabilis Dana, $\hat{\$}$ dorsal view (after Brady) (c) Copilia quadrata Dana, of dorsal view. (after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 90. Copilia vitrea (Haeckel) \& (a) maxilliped; Copilia mirabilis Danas (b) maxilliped of forma typica (c) maxilliped of forma platyonyx; Copilia quadrata Dana of (d) maxilliped (after Lehnhofer)

146 Length of cephalosome is more than $2 / 3$ of breadth
Length of cephalosome is $2 / 3$ of breadth or less; details of maxilliped (in two forms) as figured; length 3.2 to 6.1 mm .
\& Copilia mirabilis Dana (Fig. $89 \mathrm{~b} ; 90 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ )
147 Anterior border of cephalosome evenly rounded; maxillipeds as figured, terminal segment whip-like, 2nd segment comparatively large; length 5.5 to 9.0 mm .
§ Copilia vitrea (Haeckel) (Fig. 89 a; 90a)
Anterior border of cephalosome flat or slightly incurved; maxillipeds as figured; terninal segment is a curved claw, 2nd segment is long and narrow; length 3.5 to 5.7 mm .

今 Copilia quadrata Dana (Fig. $89 \mathrm{c} ; 90 \mathrm{~d}$ )
148 Females only: urosome distinctly narrower than the metasome; 5segmented, or 6 -segmented when the genital segment is distinctly divided into two; lst urosome segment is not concealed; it bears the 5 th pair of legs which are small and alike in both sexes; dorsal surface not as iridescent as in the males.149

Males only: urosome wide, only a little narrower than metasome; always 6 -segmented; 1st urosome segment concealed; epimeral plates well developed on urosome segments 2 to 5 ; dorsal surface of body usually iridescent or brightly tinted or both
149 Outline of body long and narrow as figured; 4th leg with the endopod as long as the exopod; length 2.3 mm .
\& Sapphirina ovatolanceolata Dana (Fig. 91 a, b)


Fig. 91. Sapphirina ovatolanceolata Dana $\%$ (a) Dorsal view (b) 4th leg. Sapphirina auronitens Claus \& (c) Dorsal view. (d) 4th leg. Sapphirina nigromaculata Dana $\&$ (e) Dorsal view. ( $f$ ) 4th leg. (a, b. after Colefax, c, d, f. after Wilson; e. after Giesbrecht).


Fig. 92. (a) Sapphirina ovatolanceolata Dana \$ Dorsal view. (b) Sapphirina auronitens Claus o Dorsal view. (c) Sapphirina nigromaculata Dana o Dorsal view. (a, c. after Giesbrecht; b. after Colefax).


Fig. 93. Bomolocius sp. (a) Female, dorsal view. (i) Female, maxilliped. (c) Male, dorsal view (at greater magnification than the female). (d) Male, maxilliped (original).

Outline of body as figured; 4th leg with the endopod shorter than the exopod but over $\frac{1}{2}$ its length; length 2.3 mm .
\& Sapphirina auronitens Claus (Fig. 91 c , d)
Outline of the body as figured; 4th leg with the endopod only one half as long as exopod; length 1.9 to 2.0 mm .
\& Sapphirina nigromaculata Dana (Fig. 91 e, f)
150 Third and fourth metasome segments as wide as cephalosome; corneal lenses not visible in dorsal view, length 4.1 mm .
\$ Sapphirina ovatolanceolata Dana (Fig. 92 a)
Third and fourth metasome segments narrower than cephalosome; corneal lenses visible in dorsal view .............................. . . 151
151 Caudal rami hardly $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times as long as broad, length 2.2 mm . \& Sapphirina auronitens Claus (Fig. 92 b)
Caudal rami at least $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as broad; length 2.05 to 2.45 mm .
\$ Sapphirina nigromaculata Dana (Fig. 92 c)
152 Maxillipeds in the female turned forward outside the other mouth parts and more or less fused with the head, the apical claw $S$ shaped; in the male not fused to the head; gill-parasites of fishes often found
free-swimming in the plankton; $\& 0.6$ to $0.75 \mathrm{~mm} ; \$ 0.5$ to 0.70 mm .

우 Bomolochus species (Fig. 93)
Note: The figures given are those of an undescribed species observed frequently in plankton. Though the number of segments in swimming legs and in the urosome appeared to be fewer than in the adult, at least the male depicted seemed to be sexually mature.
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[^0]:    1 Paired compound eyes never present. 2Paired compound eyes present ; 5th and 6th legs absent ; the corres-ponding segments merged in the abdomen which is leaf-like,unsegmented and notched or bilobed posteriorly
    -ARGULOIDA
    2 Second antennae and mouth parts present; developmental stagesusually free-swimming ; adults free-swimming or ectoparasiticonly3

    Second antennae and mouth parts absent in the adult which is freeswimming ; developmental stages parasitic
    -MONSTRILLOIDA

    3 Body not depressed and habits not ectoparasitic except in very rare instances; metasome ends behind the segment of the 4 th or 5 th pair of legs ; sternal fork not present ................................ . 4
    Body depressed and habits ectoparasitic; when the articulation between metasome and urosome is well-marked at all, it is just behind the segment which forms a waist at the anterior margin of the urosome ; sternal fork present between the bases of the maxillipeds............................................... . . CALIGOIDA

    4 Urosome includes not only the geinital and abdominal segments but one further segment bearing the 5th pair of legs; first antennae of the male, if geniculate, geniculate on both sides................. 5
    Urosome includes the genital and abdominal segments only; first antennae of the male, if geniculate, geniculate on one side only, commonly the right side...........................CALANOIDA 6 Note : Members of the first three suborders have not been included in this Key, being mostly less common species.

